An EHE neutrino accompanied by a Gravitational Wave would reveal the origin of Ultrahigh Energy Cosmic Rays or "UHECRs from Binary Neutron Star Mergers"



Glennys R. Farrar, New York University Baha/George Fest, Berkeley, Jan. 17, 2025

arXiv: 2405.112004 [astro-ph.HE]



 Medium energy: Milky Way - accelerated in supernovae remnants (Fermi mechanism) Confined by Galactic magnetic field Larmour radius = $1 E_{18}/(Z B_{uG})$ kpc

• High energy: Extragalactic - What are they? (protons, nuclei,...) - What are their sources? – How are they accelerated?



Cosmic Rays Low energy <u>CR's</u>: from sun







- 10" GeV UHECR hits air nucleus, producing 1000s of secondary particles, e.g., π° , π^{\pm} $\pi^{\circ} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \Rightarrow$ EM shower

π^{\pm} hits air nucleus, continues cascade

low $\mathbb{E} \pi^{\pm}$ decays, producing muon & heutrino



How to deduce the mass and energy of a UHECR



- Depth of first interaction
 - heavy nucleus: interacts quickly (starts high)
 - proton: 1st interaction is deep or shallow
- Shower development
 - heavy nucleus: shower develops quickly
 - proton: more interactions needed to reach shower max
 - primary energy from integrated fluorescence emission
- Ground signal
 - EM vs muon components \Rightarrow nuclear mass
 - primary energy from total signal







Hess on gondola in 1912 probably in test flight. The date and place is not clear at present <Ed> Contributed by R. Steinmaurer. See p. 17.



Aeronautisches Gelände im Wiener Prater, von dem aus V. F. Hess in den Jahren 1911/12 seine ersten Freiballon-Forschungsfahrten unternommen hatte. (Courtesy of Heeresgeschichtliche Museum, Vienna)

<Ed> Contributed by R. Steinmaurer, See p. 17.

Hess: CRs 1911 or 1912





Impression of the upgraded surface detector stations



Fly's Eye Utah 1991 OMG: 320 EeV

Línsley: Ist evt > 100 EeV Volcano Ranch, NM~1962

Telescope Array, Utah Amaterasu ('23): 240 EeV



Pierre Auger Obs., Argentina 40 evts > 100 EeV





Plan of talk

 Observations & status of UHECRs:
 Modern data is very constraining; <u>no GZK violation</u>; "usual suspects" sources — AGNs, Gamma Ray Bursts (collapse of massive star) — all have problems

NEW PROPOSAL: <u>UHECRs are produced in jets of binary neutron star mergers</u>.
This is first scenario which potentially satisfies all requirements
Can account for all UHECRs with a single mechanism.
Fascinating prediction: Highest energy UHECRs are r-process nuclei.
EVERY EHE neutrino is accompanied by a Gravitational Wave



Constraints from Spectrum and Composition

• Energy injection in UHECRs > 10 EeV: $\approx 6 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg Mpc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ Peak rigidity ≈4.5 EV → Factor-few spread in rigidities How can spread be so narrow ??? Ehlert, Oikonomou, Unger+23



• Mixed composition; hard spectrum, depends on <u>Rigidity</u>: R = E/(Ze)



Constraints from Arrival Directions

• Sources fairly abundant T. Bister and GRF, ApJ 2024

 HIGHEST ENERGY UHECRs are produced <u>in TRANSIENTS</u> (TA's Amaterasu, Fly's Eye OMG)
 M. Unger and GRF, ApJL 2024

NO powerful AGN or starburst galaxies (long GRB) in localization region





Key conditions on UHECR sources

 Híllas criterion: CR escapes unless its Larmor radius is < source size -> $R_{max,EV} \approx 3 \times 10^{-11} \Gamma_{jet} L_{km} B_G$ Source number density and energy injection rate: • $n_{S} \ge 10^{-3.5} \text{ Mpc}^{-3}$ and $dQ/dt = 6 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg Mpc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for $E_{CR} > 10 \text{ EeV}$ Highest energy UHECRs are produced in TRANSIENTS Universal maximum rigidity (little source-to-source variation) • Anomalously high energy of "OMG" & Amaterasu (250 EeV & 220 EeV)



New Proposal:

Binary Neutron Star Mergers

- Universal Maximum Rigidity is natural
 - $M_{BNS} = (2.64 \pm 0.14) M_{\odot}$
 - Gravitationally-driven dynamo Kiuchi+ NatureAstron23
 - km-scale fields > 10^{15} G V Hillas
- Energy injection rate: $(obs = 6 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg Mpc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1})$
 - BNS rate $\Gamma_{\text{NSmerg}} = 10-1700 \text{ Gpc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$
 - Energy in jet and outflow

Effective source density

arXiv: 2405.112004 [astro-ph.HE]



 $if Γ_{NSmerg} ≥ 100 Gpc^{-3} yr^{-1}$ (Kiuchi+23)



 $\beta_{\text{EGMF}} \equiv B_{\text{EGMF}}/\text{nG}\sqrt{L_c/\text{Mpc}}$ (expected range 0.1-1)



Potential challenges to Binary Neutron Star merger scenario

- Factor-few universality, merger-to-merger, in R_{max}
 - Understand detailed origin of R_{max}
 - ٠ spins
 - UHECR pheno: how much "pollution" from other sources is ok?
- Sufficient UHECR production?
 - Is the BNS merger rate sufficient?
 - (Comísso, GF & Muzío 2410.05546)

More hi-res simulations of BNS merger jets to understand R_{max} sensitivity to NS

UHECR acceleration is efficient: ~50-50 energy balance between LPoynting & LUCR



Binary Neutron Star Mergers as the source of UHECRs:

Two important consequences & tests



Very highest energy events explained! Kasen+17

**higher if a proton

 r-process nucleosynthesis takes place in BNS mergers sometimes an r-nucleus is swept up and accelerated $\rightarrow E = R Z_{Te-Xe} \approx 4.5 EV \times (52-54) = 240 EeV$ • Excellent agreement with OMG and Amaterasu! • $E_{OMG} \approx 250\pm70 \text{ EeV}^*$, $E_{Amaterasu} \approx 212\pm25 \text{ EeV}^*$

*with modern air fluorescence yield

Squeezed dynamical $v \approx 0.2c-0.3c$

> Disk wind $v \leq 0.1c$







r-process nucleosynthesis B2FH

REVIEWS OF MODERN PHYSICS

Volume 29, Number 4

Synthesis of the Elements in Stars*

E. MARGARET BURBIDGE, G. R. BURBIDGE, WILLIAM A. FOWLER, AND F. HOYLE

Kellogg Radiation Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, and Mount Wilson and Palomar Observatories, Carnegie Institution of Washington, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California

> "It is the stars, The stars above us, govern our conditions"; (King Lear, Act IV, Scene 3)

> > but perhaps

"The fault, dear Brutus, is not in our stars, But in ourselves," (Julius Caesar, Act I, Scene 2)

October, 1957

r process.—The nuclear physics of this process demands that neutrons be added extremely rapidly, so that the total time-scale for the addition of a maximum of about 200 neutrons per iron nucleus is $\sim 10-100$ sec.



Merging NS's produce "r-process" elements



The r processB2FH, Rev. Mod. Phys. 29, 547 (1957) ; A. Cameron, Report CRL-41 (1957)r(apid neutron capture) process: $\tau_{(n,\gamma)} \ll \tau_{\beta^-}$

And see Nicole Vassh movie https://www.google.com/search?client=safari&rls=en&q=r-process+nucleosynthesis+movie&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8#fpstate=ive&vld=cid:94d4d99d,vid:P1tHGLdXRTw,st:361



• The path to heavier nuclei goes through neutron-rich nuclei.

20 PeV neutrinos come from UHECRs

``UFA" mechanism* gives good fit to spectrum & composition
Each nucleon in UHECR carries E ≈ 4 x Ze/A EV ≈ 2 EeV
Interacts with photon in the environment of accelerator → π with E ≈ 80 PeV → E_v ≈ 20 PeV

Future test of BNS-merger orígín: ≈20 PeV neutrínos coíncident with GW from BNS mergers

UHECRs interact while escaping the source, producing v's with E_v ≈ 20 PeV
 ⇒ Every ≈ 20 PeV v should be accompanied by a gravitational wave from the NS merger.
 CE+ET+IC-Gen2 x few yrs: very promising.

 GW170817 should also have been accompanied by 20 PeV neutrinos but estimated fluence for most favorable case of aligned jet << 0.15 GeV cm⁻² per flavor. Sensitivity not adequate by orders of magnitude

Review: Source candidates vs key constraints

	n _S ≥ 10-3.5 Mpc-3	energy	ordinary galaxy	Universal R _{max}	Highest energy events
Powerful AGN	[*]	~	X	×	
LongGRBs	[X]	X	X	×	
TidalDistruption Events	?	?	~	×	
Accretion Shocks	?	?	[*]	*	
BNS mergers					

All can satisfy Hillas size > Larmor radius

Congratulations, George & Baha! - looking forward to your many more great future accomplishments!!!

ANTIN TO

- Uniquely, can probably satisfy all requirements:
 - * Universal Maximum Rigidity explained.
 - * Can produce all CRs (dependent on BNS merger rate & power in CRs)
- Highest energy events are r-process nuclei

Summary

New suggestion: UHECRs are produced in binary NS mergers.

Should see coincidences between ≈20 PeV neutrinos and GWs from BNS-merger

UHECR data can discriminate between Acceleration Mechanisms!

L. Comisso, GRF, M. Muzio 2410.05546

predicted by magnetic turbulence acceleration over that of diffusive shock acceleration sech(E/E_{cut})^2 rather than $exp(-E/E_{cut})$

