

DISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS OF DARK MATTER HALOS


Image Credit: Millennium Simulation Project

Axel Gross, University of Minnesota

In Collaboration with Yong-Zhong Qian and Zhaozhou Li

The NFW Profile

- In 1997, Navarro, Frenk, and White showed that dark matter halos can be universally fit by a single functional form:



The NFW Profile (2)

- In 1997, Navarro, Frenk, and White showed that dark matter halos can be universally fit by a single

functional form:
$$\rho(r) = \frac{\rho_0}{\frac{r}{R_S} \left(1 + \frac{r}{R_S}\right)^2}$$

- Because this is divergent, it is common to define an edge of the halo so the average density is $200\rho_c$, and the concentration as the ratio of the virial and scale radius

$$R_{vir} = \left(\frac{3M}{4\pi (200\rho_c)} \right)^{1/3}$$


$$R_{vir} = cR_S$$

$$M = 4\pi\rho_0 R_S^3 \left[\ln \left(1 + \frac{R_{vir}}{R_S} \right) - \frac{R_{vir}}{R_{vir} + R_S} \right]$$

$$(M, c) \Leftrightarrow (R_S, \rho_0)$$

The NFW Profile (3)

- Simulations show a loose relationship between halo mass and concentration:



The Distribution Function

- We define the distribution function:

$$\int d^3x d^3v f(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, t) = 1 \qquad dP = d^3x d^3v f(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, t)$$

- Conservation of probability gives the collisionless Boltzmann Equation:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}} - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = 0$$

- Define an integral of motion (IOM) to be:

$$\frac{d}{dt} I[x(t), v(t)] = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial I}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial I}{\partial \mathbf{x}} - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \cdot \frac{\partial I}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = 0$$

The Distribution Function (2)

- Jeans Theorem: Any steady-state solutions must depend on the phase space coordinates through only IOM, and any function of IOM must be a steady state solution:

$$\frac{d}{dt} f(I_1, \dots, I_n) = \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial I_m} \frac{dI_m}{dt} = 0$$

- In spherical symmetry, integrals of motion are E and \vec{L} .
- A distribution function that only depends on E is called ergodic

$$f(E) = f\left(\frac{v^2}{2} + \phi(r)\right)$$

The Distribution Function (3)

- If you have the distribution function, it is straightforward to determine the density:

$$\rho(r) = M \int d^3v f\left(\frac{1}{2}v^2 + \phi(r)\right) = 4\pi M \int dE f(E) \sqrt{2(E - \phi(r))}$$

- We can also relate the distribution function to the number density:

$$g(E) = \int d^3r d^3v \delta\left(\frac{1}{2}v^2 + \phi(r) - E\right) = 16\pi^2 \int dr r^2 \sqrt{2(E - \phi(r))}$$

$$N(E) = g(E)f(E)$$

- Thus, we can relate $N(E)$ and $\rho(r)$

$$\rho(r) = 4\pi M \int dE \frac{N(E)}{g(E)} \sqrt{2(E - \phi(r))}$$

- Density must also determine the potential

$$\nabla^2 \phi = 4\pi G \rho$$

A Self Consistent Energy Distribution



- The distribution function can be calculated through an Eddington inversion:

$$f(E) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi^2 M}} \frac{d}{dE} \int \frac{d\phi}{\sqrt{\phi - E}} \frac{d\rho}{d\phi}$$

- After inversion of the NFW profile, the density of states can be used to find $N(E)$, and this can be compared to that of numerical simulations.
- We calculate $N(E)$ inside the virial radius, and compare to $N(E)$ obtained from N-body simulation


Important Factors to Consider

- Central Potential Deviation: define $\psi(R_{vir}) = 0$





- N(E) normalization: the best fit NFW to a simulated halo has a different total mass



N-Body Simulations



Comparison of $N(E)$





Comparison of $N(E)$ (2)



Comparison of $f(E)$

- If the halo is fully equilibrated, $f(E)$ should be uniform for the entire system
- We can calculate $f(E)$ for simulated halos by using the numerical potential to calculate $g(E)$.
- Doing this with two different sample regions (inside R_{vir} and inside $2.5 R_{vir}$) allows us to test the equilibration of the halo.
- Additionally, we can compare this to the $f(E)$ obtained from the NFW profile
- Proper metric of comparison is $\bar{M} f(E)$

Comparison of $f(E)$ (2)



Conclusions

- The NFW profile provides a good approximation to the density profiles of dark matter halos
- With proper considerations, we can generate an energy distribution from the NFW profile which agrees above $E > \psi(0.05R_{vir})$ with that of simulated halos
- We also find good agreement of the distribution function of the NFW profile with that of simulated halos, and that the halos are well equilibrated.