# Exploring Axion-like Particles with Nearby Supernovae

#### Kanji Mori (森寛治) Research Institute of Stellar Explosive Phenomena, Fukuoka University

#### Contents

1. Introduction – What are **axion-like particles** (ALPs)?

- 2. ALPs from nearby supernova progenitors [Mori, Takiwaki & Kotake, submitted (arXiv:2107.12661).]
- 3. Impacts of ALPs on core-collapse supernovae [Mori, Takiwaki & Kotake, in prep.]

## **Standard Model**

SM is a successful theory for the most fundamental level of Nature, but: ✓ Where is gravity? ✓ What are **dark matter** and **dark** energy? ✓ What is **neutrino mass**?



https://www.kek.jp/old/en/Research/IPNS/201402123\_%E9%BB%92\_en.jpg



After Planck

## **Axion-like Particles (ALPs)**

- A class of hypothetical pseudo Nambu-Goldstone bosons associated with U(1) symmetries
- Many models

✓QCD axions

- [Wilczek PRL 40 (1978) 279, Weinberg PRL 40 (1978) 223.]
- ✓ String axions [Svrcek & Witten JHEP 2006 51.]

**√**...

• A candidate of dark matter!



#### **Constraints on ALPs**

- ALPs can couple with photons:  $\mathcal{L}_{a\gamma\gamma} = -\frac{1}{\Lambda}g_{a\gamma}a\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}$
- The ALP-photon coupling  $g_{a\gamma}$  has been explored experimentally and astrophysically



#### **SN 1987A Constraints on ALPs**

• Non-detection of  $\gamma$  -rays



Payez et al., JCAP 1502 (2015) 006

Observation: *F*(25-100 MeV) < 0.6 γ / cm<sup>2</sup> Chupp, Vestrand & Reppin PRL 62 (1989) 505



### **Impact on Stellar Evolution**

Horizontal branch stars

TABLE IV. Time scale for helium burning for a  $1.3M_{\odot}$  star for various values of G.

$G_9$	t <sub>He</sub> (yr)	
0.0	$1.2 \times 10^{8}$	low-to-d
0.1	$6.9 \times 10^{7}$	accelerated
0.3	$1.6 \times 10^{7}$	♦

Raffelt & Dearborn PRD 36 (1987) 2211

#### **Globular clusters**



Massive stars



Hertzsprung-Russell diagrams

Friedland, Giannotti & Wise PRL 110 (2013) 061101

## **Astrophysical Constraints**

#### Core-collapse supernovae

Calore et al. PRD 102 (2020) 123005; Lucente et al. JCAP 2020 (2020) 008; Ge et al. JCAP 2020 (2020) 059; Sung, Tu & Wu PRD 99 (2019) 121305; Meyer et al. PRL 118 (2017) 011103; Fisher et al. PRD 94 (2016) 085012; Payez et al. JCAP 2015 (2015) 006; Giannotti, Duffy & Nita JCAP 2011 (2011) 015; Jaeckel, Malta & Redondo JCAP 2011 (2011) 015; Grifols, Masso & Toldra PRL 77 (1996) 2372; Brockway, Carlson & Raffelt PLB 383 (1996) 439

#### Stellar evolution

Xiao et al. PRL 126 (2021) 031101; Dolan, Hiskens & Volkas JCAP 2021 (2021) 010; Croon, McDermott & Sakstein PRD 102 (2020) 115024; Straniero et al. ApJ 881 (2019) 158; Carenza et al. PLB 809 (2020) 135709; Ayala et al. PRL 113 (2014) 191302; Friedland, Giannotti & Wise PRL 110 (2013) 061101; Raffelt & Dearborn PRD 36 (1987) 2211

#### • Sun

IAXO Collaboration, JCAP 2019 (2019) 047; TASTE Collaboration, JINST 12 (2017) P11019; CAST Collaboration, Nature Physics 13 (2017) 584; Inoue et al. PLB 668 (2008) 93; Bernabei et al. PLB 515 (2001) 6; Moriyama et al. PLB 434 (1998) 147; Lazarus et al. PRL 69 (1992) 2333

#### • Others

#### Pros

Extreme environment far beyond terrestrial experiments  $\rightarrow$  Tight constraints on ALPs

#### Cons

Ignorance on astrophysical objects →Possibly large systematic uncertainties



It is important to propose independent methods to explore ALPs

#### **ALP Production Process**

[e.g. di Lella et al. PRD 62 (2000) 125011.]

#### **ALP Emissivity Primakoff process** $Q_{a}$ [erg/cm<sup>3</sup>/s] 1015 *g*<sub>10</sub>=0.05 1014 $m_{\rm a}$ =0.1 neV ho =10<sup>9</sup> g/cm<sup>3</sup> 1013 а $\begin{smallmatrix} 10^{12} \\ \text{Gam}_3^{-10} \\ \text{Gam}_3^{-10} \\ 10^{10} \\ 10^9 \\ 10^9 \\ \end{smallmatrix}$ e, Ze 108 107 106 10<sup>9</sup> 108 1010 Temperature [K] $Q_a = \int_m^\infty dE_a E_a \frac{d^2 n_a}{dt dE_a}$ $\frac{d^2 n_a}{dt dE} = g_{a\gamma}^2 \frac{T\kappa^2}{32\pi^3} \frac{kp}{e^{\frac{E}{T}} - 1} \left( \frac{((k+p)^2 + \kappa^2)((k-p)^2 + \kappa^2)}{4kp\kappa^2} \ln\left(\frac{(k+p)^2 + \kappa^2}{(k-p)^2 + \kappa^2}\right) - \frac{(k^2 - p^2)^2}{4kp\kappa^2} \ln\left(\frac{(k+p)^2}{(k-p)^2}\right) - 1 \right)$

*k*: photon wave number in plasma *p*: ALP momentum

κ: Debye-Hückel scale

ALP emissivity is a steep function of T $\rightarrow$ Hot astrophysical plasma is preferred

#### Contents

1. Introduction – What are axion-like particles (ALPs)?

2. ALPs from nearby supernova progenitors [Mori, Takiwaki & Kotake, submitted (arXiv:2107.12661).]

3. Impacts of ALPs on core-collapse supernovae [Mori, Takiwaki & Kotake, in prep.]

### **Stellar Evolution**

#### **Core-collapse SN**



White dwarf



©NASA and The Hubble Heritage Team/28 (STScI/AURA)



## **Supernova Progenitors**



Temperature becomes higher as the star evolves →Massive stars in O- and Si-burning phases as an ALP factory?

Mukhopadhyay et al. ApJ 899 (2020) 153.



There are ~30 SN candidates within ~1 kpc

### **ALP-photon Conversion by Magnetic Field**

[Raffelt & Stodolsky PRD 37 (1988) 1237.]

#### ALPs are converted into photons by Galactic magnetic field $\rightarrow \gamma$ -ray may be observable $a-\gamma$ conversion prob. with B=1 $\mu$ G



## **Pre-SN Neutrinos**

 Pre-SN alarm would be provided by observing pre-SN neutrinos

Target-of-opportunity observations
 of γ -rays may find signatures of
 pre-SN ALPs



SK

Kam HK

#### **Stellar Model**

- We adopt parameters for Betelgeuse as a benchmark
- MESA [Paxton et al. ApJS]
- *M*=20*M*<sub>☉</sub>
- $d=168^{+27}_{-15}$  pc [Joyce et al. ApJ 902 (2020) 63.]
- *B*=1 μG





## **γ-ray Spectrum**

- 20 M<sub>☉</sub> model just before
   core-collapse
- d=168 pc (Betelgeuse)
- The peak is at ~1-5 MeV
- →may be observed by MeV
  γ-ray telescopes
- Spectral irregularity by  $P_{a\gamma}$



 $\frac{d^2 n_{\gamma}}{dt dE} = \frac{1}{4\pi d^2} 4\pi P_{a\gamma} \int_0^R \frac{d^2 n_a}{dt dE} r^2 dr$ 

### γ-ray Flux and its Observability

- γ -ray flux increases as a function of time
- $\gamma$  -ray may be observable
- →ToO observations following pre-SN neutrino alarms are desirable



### Summary



#### Contents

1. Introduction – What are axion-like particles (ALPs)?

2. ALPs from nearby supernova progenitors [Mori, Takiwaki & Kotake, submitted (arXiv:2107.12661).]

3. Impacts of ALPs on core-collapse supernovae [Mori, Takiwaki & Kotake, in prep.]

## **Multi-messenger Astronomy of SNe**

#### **Gravitational waves**

- SN 1987A provided much information on ALPs
- Previous calculations are (mainly) post-processing
- Much information will be obtained by multimessenger observations of SNe
- →SN models coupled with ALPs are needed



© Caltech/MIT/LIGO Lab

Neutrinos



©Kamioka Observatory, ICRR (Institute for Cosmic Ray Research) The University of Tokyo



## **Stalled Shock**

- The bounce shock stalls in 1D simulations
- Photodisintegration of heavy elements (cooling) v.s. neutrino heating
- Long-standing problem in SN physics
- Neutrino heating + multi-*D* effect?



O'Connor et al., JPhG 45 (2018) 104001.

## **SN Simulation Coupled with ALPs**

Code: 3DnSNe [Takiwaki, Kotake & Suwa MNRAS 461 (2016) L112]

**ALP Production**:

Primakoff process Photon coalescence ALP absorption: Inverse Primakoff process Radiative decay

 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = Q_{\text{cool}} - Q_{\text{heat}}$  d ALP ALP

production absorption

 $L_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = L_{i-\frac{1}{2}} + (Q_{\text{cool}, i} - Q_{\text{heat}, i})\Delta V_i$ 

for the *i*-th cell

**ALP heating rate** 

**Modification on internal energy:** 

 $E_{\text{int, }i} \to E_{\text{int, }i} + (Q_{\text{heat, }i} - Q_{\text{cool, }i})\Delta t$ 

discretize

#### **ALP Production Processes**

[e.g. di Lella et al. PRD 62 (2000) 125011.]

#### **Primakoff process**



$$\frac{d^2 n_a}{dt dE} = g_{a\gamma}^2 \frac{T\kappa^2}{32\pi^3} \frac{kp}{e^{\frac{E}{T}} - 1} \left( \frac{((k+p)^2 + \kappa^2)((k-p)^2 + \kappa^2)}{4kp\kappa^2} \ln\left(\frac{(k+p)^2 + \kappa^2}{(k-p)^2 + \kappa^2}\right) - \frac{(k^2 - p^2)^2}{4kp\kappa^2} \ln\left(\frac{(k+p)^2}{(k-p)^2}\right) - 1 \right)$$

k. photon wave number in plasma

*p*: ALP momentum

κ: Debye-Hückel scale

#### **Photon coalescence**



$$\frac{d^2 n_a}{dt dE} = g_{a\gamma}^2 \frac{m_a^4}{128\pi^3} p \left(1 - \frac{4\omega_{\rm pl}^2}{m_a^2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{E}{T}}$$
  
$$\omega_{\rm pl}: \text{ plasma frequency}$$

Possible only when  $m_a > 2\omega_{pl}$ 

## **SN Simulation Coupled with ALPs**



✓ ALPs are produced at ~10 km
 ✓ ALPs decay after running a mean free path → additional heating

#### **Shock Revival by ALPs**





ALPs may heat the shock wave and lead to shock revival

### Summary



## Summary

- Astrophysical objects such as SNe offer unique opportunities to explore ALPs.
- Observations of nearby SN progenitors with next-generation
   γ -ray telescopes may provide independent information on
   ALPs.
- ALPs in a specific parameter region may significantly affect explosion mechanism of core-collapse SNe.